Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,975	KOCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Ms. Susan Sprunger.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 August 2004</u>	Time: <u>12:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 14-21 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to red Sprunger agreed to have these claims deleted without prejudic	quest deletion of claims 14-21 and	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	the examiner will provide a writtle record of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Bruch ZM		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)